

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DÉPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/945,524	09/04/2001	Martin Robitaille		2677
7590 07/12/2004			EXAMINER	
Martin Robitaille			KOCH, GEORGE R	
100, rue de Naples St-Augustin-de-Desmaures, QC G3A 2Y2 CANADA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1734	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/945,524	ROBITAILLE ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	George R. Koch III	1734				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applic 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 	s Brief must be filed within the lR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:					
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) M they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely filed amendment				
. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or l ould be rejected is provided be	b) will be entered and an low or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	•					
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19-42</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u> </u>				
10. Other:		cati				
		CHRISTOPHER A. FIORILLA PRIMARY EXAMINER SPE ARTUNIT 173 4				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 20040702

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/945,524

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: With regard to claim 19, 32 and 42, the addition of the limitations of the web width not exceeding a certain maximum width is a new issue requiring further consideration and possibly further search. Furthermore, newly presented claim 43 presents an additional claim with the cancellation of a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

CHRISTOPHER A FIGRILLA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

SPE ARTUNIT 1734